

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/829,266	04/22/2004	Atsushi Koyama	P25239	P25239 6444	
7055 759	90 11/06/2006	EXAMINER			
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			O HERN, BRENT T		
RESTON, VA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			1772	·	
			DATE MAILED: 11/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/829,266	KOYAMA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Brent T. O'Hern	1772	

	Brent T. O'Hern	1772						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 23 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		•					
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the confling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);						
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the								
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s								
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s). 7. ☑ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:	•							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-6 and 9-11</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>7 and 8</u> .								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	ut hafara ar on the data of filing a N	lotice of Anneal will n	ot he entered					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary and					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 	overcome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant ta	als to provide a					
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. 								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because								
See Continuation Sheet.12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:		, com	.0					
10. 6		NASSER AHMAD	11/2/06					
Bass San	F	PRIMARY EXAMIN	ER ' ' `					
1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 /								

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

Applicant's proposed new claims 12-19, as presented in Applicant's Paper filed 23 October 2006, require further consideration and/or search since the newly presented "circular cavity" and "distance between the base surface of the ring-shpaed cavity and the inner base surface of the circular cavity, is between 10 and 300 µm, inclusive" was not previously presented.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's proposed amendment will not be entered since the amendement presents limitations not previously considered that require further consideration and search.

In response to Applicant's argument from,p. 5, para. 4 to p. 6, para. 1 of Applicant's Paper filed 23 October 2006 that the 112, 2nd para. rejection whould be withdrawn, regarding pending claim 5, and Applicant's assertion that the recess and diameter are clearly described in FIG-2 and page 34 of Applicant's Specification, it is firstly noted that that Applicant does not have a page 34. Perhaps Applicant means para. 34. Furthermore, after review of FIG-2 and para. 34 it is noted that claim #5 is still unclear since the diameter of the cavity changes and the surface and the cavity are not equivalent. Furthermore, Applicant is invited to distinctly point out where in para. 34 and FIG-2 the claimed limitations can be found.

In reponse to Applicant arguments on page 6, para. 2 to page 7, para. 4 that proposed independent claim #12 and the dependent claims are patentable over the cited references, it is noted that claim #12 proposes additional limitations, as stated above, not previously presented that require further consideration and search.

